	Аррисацон No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/537,106	BUCKWORTH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	3736
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>amendment after non-final</u>	
(1) <u>JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kelly Nowak</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 January 2010	Time: <u>a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Examiner and Applicant discussed an Examiner's Amendment See attached.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/		
Examiner, Art Unit 3736	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)